

---

THE TECHNOLOGY,  
MEDIA AND  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS  
REVIEW

---

SIXTH EDITION

EDITOR  
JOHN P JANKA

LAW BUSINESS RESEARCH

# THE TECHNOLOGY, MEDIA AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS REVIEW

---

The Technology, Media and Telecommunications Review  
Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd.

This article was first published in The Technology, Media and  
Telecommunications Review - Edition 6  
(published in November 2015 – editor John Janka)

For further information please email  
[Nick.Barette@lbresearch.com](mailto:Nick.Barette@lbresearch.com)

THE TECHNOLOGY,  
MEDIA AND  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS  
REVIEW

---

Sixth Edition

Editor  
JOHN P JANKA

LAW BUSINESS RESEARCH LTD

PUBLISHER  
Gideon Robertson

SENIOR BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER  
Nick Barette

SENIOR ACCOUNT MANAGERS  
Katherine Jablonowska, Thomas Lee, Felicity Bown, Joel Woods

ACCOUNT MANAGER  
Jessica Parsons

PUBLISHING MANAGER  
Lucy Brewer

MARKETING ASSISTANT  
Rebecca Mogridge

EDITORIAL ASSISTANT  
Sophie Arkell

HEAD OF PRODUCTION  
Adam Myers

PRODUCTION EDITOR  
Anne Borthwick

SUBEDITOR  
Caroline Herbert

MANAGING DIRECTOR  
Richard Davey

Published in the United Kingdom  
by Law Business Research Ltd, London  
87 Lancaster Road, London, W11 1QQ, UK  
© 2015 Law Business Research Ltd  
[www.TheLawReviews.co.uk](http://www.TheLawReviews.co.uk)

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients.

Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of October 2015, be advised that this is a developing area.

Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above. Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed to the Publisher – [gideon.roberton@lbresearch.com](mailto:gideon.roberton@lbresearch.com)

ISBN 978-1-909830-71-4

Printed in Great Britain by  
Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire  
Tel: 0844 2480 112

# THE LAW REVIEWS

THE MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS REVIEW

THE RESTRUCTURING REVIEW

THE PRIVATE COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW

THE DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW

THE EMPLOYMENT LAW REVIEW

THE PUBLIC COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW

THE BANKING REGULATION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION REVIEW

THE MERGER CONTROL REVIEW

THE TECHNOLOGY, MEDIA AND  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS REVIEW

THE INWARD INVESTMENT AND  
INTERNATIONAL TAXATION REVIEW

THE CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REVIEW

THE CORPORATE IMMIGRATION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS REVIEW

THE PROJECTS AND CONSTRUCTION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL CAPITAL MARKETS REVIEW

THE REAL ESTATE LAW REVIEW

THE PRIVATE EQUITY REVIEW

THE ENERGY REGULATION AND MARKETS REVIEW

THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY REVIEW

THE ASSET MANAGEMENT REVIEW

THE PRIVATE WEALTH AND PRIVATE CLIENT REVIEW

THE MINING LAW REVIEW

THE EXECUTIVE REMUNERATION REVIEW

THE ANTI-BRIBERY AND ANTI-CORRUPTION REVIEW

THE CARTELS AND LENIENCY REVIEW

THE TAX DISPUTES AND LITIGATION REVIEW

THE LIFE SCIENCES LAW REVIEW

THE INSURANCE AND REINSURANCE LAW REVIEW

THE GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT REVIEW

THE DOMINANCE AND MONOPOLIES REVIEW

THE AVIATION LAW REVIEW

THE FOREIGN INVESTMENT REGULATION REVIEW

THE ASSET TRACING AND RECOVERY REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL INSOLVENCY REVIEW

THE OIL AND GAS LAW REVIEW

THE FRANCHISE LAW REVIEW

THE PRODUCT REGULATION AND LIABILITY REVIEW

THE SHIPPING LAW REVIEW

THE ACQUISITION AND LEVERAGED FINANCE REVIEW

THE PRIVACY, DATA PROTECTION AND CYBERSECURITY LAW REVIEW

THE PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP LAW REVIEW

THE TRANSPORT FINANCE LAW REVIEW

THE SECURITIES LITIGATION REVIEW

THE LENDING AND SECURED FINANCE REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL TRADE LAW REVIEW

[www.TheLawReviews.co.uk](http://www.TheLawReviews.co.uk)

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

---

The publisher acknowledges and thanks the following law firms for their learned assistance throughout the preparation of this book:

ABOU JAOUDE & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM

AJUMOGOBIA & OKEKE

ALI BUDIARDJO, NUGROHO, REKSODIPUTRO

BAKER & MCKENZIE

CASTRO, BARROS, SOBRAL, GOMES ADVOGADOS

CLEARY GOTTlieb STEEN & HAMILTON LLP

CMS

COELHO RIBEIRO & ASSOCIADOS

DESCHAMPS Y ASOCIADOS SC

ELVINGER, HOSS & PRUSSEN

GRATA LAW FIRM

KARATZAS & PARTNERS LAW FIRM

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

NIEDERER KRAFT & FREY LTD

SETH DUA & ASSOCIATES

SHAY & PARTNERS

ÜNSAL GÜNDÜZ

URÍA MENÉNDEZ

WEBB HENDERSON

YOON & YANG LLC

ZHONG LUN LAW FIRM



# CONTENTS

---

<b>Editor's Preface</b> .....	vii
<i>John P Janka</i>	
<b>List of Abbreviations</b> .....	ix
<b>Chapter 1</b> COMPETITION LAW OVERVIEW .....	1
<i>Abbott B Lipsky, Jr and John D Colahan</i>	
<b>Chapter 2</b> AUSTRALIA .....	16
<i>Angus Henderson, Raymond Roca and Capucine Hague</i>	
<b>Chapter 3</b> BRAZIL .....	30
<i>André Gomes de Oliveira, Renato Parreira Stetner and Tiago Franco da Silva Gomes</i>	
<b>Chapter 4</b> CANADA .....	41
<i>Theo Ling, Ricard Pochkhanawala, Jonathan Tam and Andrew Chien</i>	
<b>Chapter 5</b> CHINA .....	58
<i>Jihong Chen</i>	
<b>Chapter 6</b> EU OVERVIEW .....	71
<i>Maurits J F M Dolmans, Francesco Maria Salerno and Federico Marini-Balestra</i>	
<b>Chapter 7</b> FRANCE .....	89
<i>Myria Saarinen and Jean-Luc Juban</i>	
<b>Chapter 8</b> GERMANY .....	107
<i>Gabriele Wunsch</i>	

<b>Chapter 9</b>	GREECE.....	124
	<i>Anna Manda and Valia Apostolopoulou</i>	
<b>Chapter 10</b>	HONG KONG.....	142
	<i>Simon Powell and Chi Ho Kwan</i>	
<b>Chapter 11</b>	INDIA.....	158
	<i>Atul Dua and Arjun Uppal</i>	
<b>Chapter 12</b>	INDONESIA.....	173
	<i>Agus Ahadi Deradjat and Kevin Omar Sidharta</i>	
<b>Chapter 13</b>	JAPAN.....	187
	<i>Hiroki Kobayashi, Saori Kawakami, Daniel Senger and Shintaro Ojima</i>	
<b>Chapter 14</b>	KAZAKHSTAN .....	203
	<i>Yerzhan Yessimkhanov and Assel Kalmagambetova</i>	
<b>Chapter 15</b>	KOREA.....	215
	<i>Wonil Kim and Kwang-Wook Lee</i>	
<b>Chapter 16</b>	LEBANON .....	227
	<i>Souraya Machnouk, Joy Lahoud and Ziad Maatouk</i>	
<b>Chapter 17</b>	LUXEMBOURG .....	240
	<i>Linda Funck</i>	
<b>Chapter 18</b>	MEXICO .....	261
	<i>Jaime Deschamps and Andoni Zurita</i>	
<b>Chapter 19</b>	NIGERIA.....	271
	<i>Ebunoluwa Awasika and Olumide K Obayemi</i>	
<b>Chapter 20</b>	POLAND.....	284
	<i>Tomasz Koryzma, Agnieszka Besiekierska and Marcin Lewoszewski</i>	

<b>Chapter 21</b>	PORTUGAL.....	294
	<i>Jaime Medeiros and Mónica Oliveira Costa</i>	
<b>Chapter 22</b>	RUSSIA.....	307
	<i>Maxim Boulba and Elena Andrianova</i>	
<b>Chapter 23</b>	SINGAPORE.....	318
	<i>Ken Chia and Seng Yi Lin</i>	
<b>Chapter 24</b>	SPAIN .....	341
	<i>Pablo González-Espejo</i>	
<b>Chapter 25</b>	SWITZERLAND .....	354
	<i>András Gurovits</i>	
<b>Chapter 26</b>	TAIWAN.....	370
	<i>Arthur Shay and David Yeh</i>	
<b>Chapter 27</b>	TURKEY.....	384
	<i>Burçak Ünsal and Okan Gündüz</i>	
<b>Chapter 28</b>	UNITED KINGDOM .....	399
	<i>Omar Shah and Gail Crawford</i>	
<b>Chapter 29</b>	UNITED STATES .....	434
	<i>John P Janka and Jarrett S Taubman</i>	
<b>Chapter 30</b>	UZBEKISTAN.....	455
	<i>Nodir Yuldashev</i>	
<b>Appendix 1</b>	ABOUT THE AUTHORS.....	467
<b>Appendix 2</b>	CONTRIBUTING LAW FIRMS' CONTACT DETAILS ...	489

# EDITOR'S PREFACE

---

This fully updated sixth edition of *The Technology, Media and Telecommunications Review* provides an overview of the evolving legal constructs relevant to both existing service providers and start-ups in 29 jurisdictions around the world. It is intended as a business-focused framework for beginning to examine evolving law and policy in the rapidly changing TMT sector.

The burgeoning demand for broadband service, and for radio spectrum-based communications in particular, continues to drive law and policy in the TMT sector. The disruptive effect of these new ways of communicating creates similar challenges around the world:

- a* the need to facilitate the deployment of state-of-the-art communications infrastructure to all citizens;
- b* the reality that access to the global capital market is essential to finance that infrastructure;
- c* the need to use the limited radio spectrum more efficiently than before;
- d* the delicate balance between allowing network operators to obtain a fair return on their assets and ensuring that those networks do not become bottlenecks that stifle innovation or consumer choice; and
- e* the growing influence of the 'new media' conglomerates that result from increasing consolidation and convergence.

A global focus exists on making radio spectrum available for a host of new demands, such as the developing 'Internet of Things,' broadband service to aeroplanes and vessels, and the as yet undefined, next-generation wireless technology referred to as '5G'. This process involves 'refarming' existing bands, so that new services and technologies can access spectrum previously set aside for businesses that either never developed or no longer have the same spectrum needs. In many cases, an important first step will occur at the World Radiocommunication Conference in November 2015, in Geneva, Switzerland, where countries from around the world will participate in a process that sets the stage for these new applications. No doubt, this conference will lead to changes in long-standing radio

spectrum allocations that have not kept up with advances in technology, and it should also address the flexible ways that new technologies allow many different services to co-exist in the same segment of spectrum.

Many telecommunications networks once designed primarily for voice are now antiquated and not suitable for the interactive broadband applications that can extend economic benefits, educational opportunities and medical services throughout a nation. As a result, many governments are investing in or subsidising broadband networks to ensure that their citizens can participate in the global economy, and have universal access to the vital information, entertainment and educational services now delivered over broadband. Governments are also re-evaluating how to regulate broadband providers, whose networks have become essential to almost every citizen. Convergence, vertical integration and consolidation are also leading to increased focus on competition and, in some cases, to changes in the government bodies responsible for monitoring and managing competition in the TMT sector.

Changes in the TMT ecosystem, including the increased reliance by content providers on broadband for video distribution, have also led to a policy focus on 'network neutrality' – the goal of providing some type of stability for the provision of important communications services on which almost everyone relies, while also addressing the opportunities for mischief that can arise when market forces work unchecked. While the stated goals of that policy focus are laudable, the way in which resulting law and regulation are implemented can have profound effects on the balance of power in the sector, and raises important questions about who should bear the burden of expanding broadband networks to accommodate the capacity strains created by content providers.

These continuing developments around the world are described in the following chapters, as well as the developing liberalisation of foreign ownership restrictions, efforts to ensure consumer privacy and data protection, and measures to ensure national security and facilitate law enforcement. Many tensions exist among the policy goals that underlie the resulting changes in the law. Moreover, cultural and political considerations often drive different responses at the national and the regional level, even though the global TMT marketplace creates a common set of issues.

I would like to take the opportunity to thank all of the contributors for their insightful contributions to this publication and I hope you will find this global survey a useful starting point in your review and analysis of these fascinating developments in the TMT sector.

**John P Janka**  
Latham & Watkins LLP  
Washington, DC  
October 2015

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

3G	Third-generation (mobile wireless technology)
4G	Fourth-generation (mobile wireless technology)
5G	Fifth-generation (mobile wireless technology)
ADSL	Asymmetric digital subscriber line
AMPS	Advanced mobile phone system
ARPU	Average revenue per user
BIAP	Broadband internet access provider
BWA	Broadband wireless access
CATV	Cable TV
CDMA	Code division multiple access
CMTS	Cellular mobile telephone system
DAB	Digital audio broadcasting
DECT	Digital enhanced cordless telecommunications
DDoS	Distributed denial-of-service
DoS	Denial-of-service
DSL	Digital subscriber line
DTH	Direct-to-home
DTTV	Digital terrestrial TV
DVB	Digital video broadcast
DVB-H	Digital video broadcast – handheld
DVB-T	Digital video broadcast – terrestrial
ECN	Electronic communications network
ECS	Electronic communications service
EDGE	Enhanced data rates for GSM evolution
FAC	Full allocated historical cost
FBO	Facilities-based operator
FCL	Fixed carrier licence
FTNS	Fixed telecommunications network services

*List of Abbreviations*

---

FTTC	Fibre to the curb
FTTH	Fibre to the home
FTTN	Fibre to the node
FTT <sub>x</sub>	Fibre to the <i>x</i>
FWA	Fixed wireless access
Gb/s	Gigabits per second
GB/s	Gigabytes per second
GSM	Global system for mobile communications
HDTV	High-definition TV
HITS	Headend in the sky
HSPA	High-speed packet access
IaaS	Infrastructure as a service
IAC	Internet access provider
ICP	Internet content provider
ICT	Information and communications technology
IPTV	Internet protocol TV
IPv6	Internet protocol version 6
ISP	Internet service provider
kb/s	Kilobits per second
kB/s	Kilobytes per second
LAN	Local area network
LRIC	Long-run incremental cost
LTE	Long Term Evolution (4G technology for both GSM and CDMA cellular carriers)
Mb/s	Megabits per second
MB/s	Megabytes per second
MMDS	Multichannel multipoint distribution service
MMS	Multimedia messaging service
MNO	Mobile network operator
MSO	Multi-system operators
MVNO	Mobile virtual network operator
MWA	Mobile wireless access
NFC	Near field communication
NGA	Next-generation access
NIC	Network information centre
NRA	National regulatory authority
OTT	Over-the-top (providers)
PaaS	Platform as a service
PNETS	Public non-exclusive telecommunications service
PSTN	Public switched telephone network
RF	Radio frequency
SaaS	Software as a service
SBO	Services-based operator
SMS	Short message service
STD-PCOs	Subscriber trunk dialling-public call offices
UAS	Unified access services

*List of Abbreviations*

---

UASL	Unified access services licence
UCL	Unified carrier licence
UHF	Ultra-high frequency
UMTS	Universal mobile telecommunications service
USO	Universal service obligation
UWB	Ultra-wideband
VDSL	Very high speed digital subscriber line
VHF	Very high frequency
VOD	Video on demand
VoB	Voice over broadband
VoIP	Voice over internet protocol
W-CDMA	Wideband code division multiple access
WiMAX	Worldwide interoperability for microwave access



## Chapter 26

---

# TAIWAN

*Arthur Shay and David Yeh<sup>1</sup>*

### I OVERVIEW

Taiwan has one of the most developed telecommunications sectors in Asia, with significant strengths in cable television, broadband technology and the online gaming industry all attracting foreign attention. In addition to its strategic importance in R&D, Taiwan manufactures many ICT products as a result of its strong resources in the semi-conductor industries. European companies are yet to exploit these resources, with the United States still making the lion's share of investment into the country.

Most state-of-the-art technologies and infrastructure have been present in the telecommunications market since 2003; fibre optics have gradually replaced xDSL for broadband access service; 3G operations enjoy the majority of market share, along with GSM and CDMA services, thanks to the various smart devices flowing into market; 4G services are desirable and now available to the 23 million people throughout Taiwan; and becoming an MVNO has proven to be a fast track for new players tapping into the emerging consumer market.

Commercial terrestrial TV and radio services were mainstream in the media market from the 1960s to 1990s. Political liberalisation in the early 1990s, accompanied by the wide distribution of cable TV systems on the island, changed the media landscape forever. By 2000, following the widespread deployment of satellite transponders and the introduction of DTH services, cable TV systems (which were given operation licences in 51 franchise areas) had dramatically grabbed a national market share of more than 70 per cent for media and entertainment for an extremely low fee.<sup>2</sup> Competition, however, did

---

1 Arthur Shay is a senior partner and David Yeh is a consultant at Shay & Partners.

2 A cable TV subscriber (in most cases, a household) paid less than NT\$17 per month for a basic service of around 100 channels (including all the premium international channels such as HBO, Cinemax and Star Movie in Asia).

not stop increasing in this expanding market. In 2003, Chunghwa Telecom (CHT), the dominant market player in the telecommunications market, and at the time a state-owned company, launched its 'BIG TV' service, a wall-gardened IPTV service available for ADSL, aiming to establish its position in the national TV viewing market. The cable TV operators in the marketplace, which eventually became five MSOs – Kbro, CNS, TBC, TFN and TOP – through mergers and acquisitions, formed their own industry association and have fought hard to compete with CHT, even though the government has appeared sympathetic to their rival. The cable TV penetration rate in Taiwan hit a record high in 2010, with an average of 85 per cent nationwide and even more in major metropolitan areas, with more than 90 per cent of TV-owning households estimated to be subscribed to a cable TV service. Nevertheless, the most potent threat has come from the opportunities the internet has brought to both businesses and consumers. MSOs have reported a halt – and even worse, a decrease – in subscription growth, mostly as a result of younger-generation consumers increasingly accessing unlimited free content on the internet. According to the National Communications Commission (NCC), as of 31 March 2015, cable subscribers in Taiwan dropped to around 5.01 million, which takes in barely 60 per cent of national TV households.

The Fundamental Communications Act was created in January 2004 to address goals to be achieved during the course of digital convergence. Traditionally, the Telecommunications Act, the Radio and Television Law, the Cable Radio and Television Law and the Satellite Broadcasting Law drew the lines between respective transmission platforms, but the NCC – an independent regulator established in 2006 for both telecommunications and electronic media – now exercises exclusive power granted by the Fundamental Communications Act converging all the foregoing platform regulations into a combined, single legislation. There is no specific regulation for the internet, but the internet, or more specifically the over-the-top services, remains a grey area in relation to audiovisual content distribution in the NCC's policy.

The government announced an ambitious plan in December 2010 for the development of digital convergence between 2010 and 2015, which later in May 2012 pushed up its key performance indicators to much higher standards. The Executive Yuan (the cabinet) backs up the NCC's above-mentioned single-law approach and identifies the following key performance indexes as goals to be achieved:

- a* 100 per cent of national households with access to 100Mb/s broadband service via a fixed network by the end of 2013;
- b* 100 per cent of cable TV subscribers with access to digital cable TV service by the end of 2014;
- c* 7.2 million FTTH users by the end of 2015;
- d* 11 million mobile or wireless broadband users by the end of 2015; and
- e* 50 per cent penetration rate of IPTV at national level by the end of 2015.

As of 31 July 2015, the provision of broadband telecom services could declare success on meeting the above-mentioned goals. However, cable TV digitalisation and the introduction of a level playing field in the cable TV market seem to be only halfway to being met.

## II REGULATION

### i The regulators

The NCC is the main authority dealing with telecoms, audiovisual media distribution and the internet, operating in addition to:

- a* the Ministry of Transportation and Communications (MOTC), which has exclusive power over spectrum allocation, the numbering plan and relevant policy planning, such as IP addresses based on a decision entered into by the Executive Yuan in 2007; and
- b* the Ministry of Culture, which is co-regulator in the fields of radio and television regardless of transmission type.

The NCC was appointed by the Executive Yuan as the regulator for personal data protection in relation to internet matters, but it in November 2011 officially refused to take on such responsibility, even though it does regulate internet businesses in the form of type II telecoms operators subject to the Telecommunications Act.

### ii Regulated activities

Licence control has been retained as a major measure for the regulation of FBOs and SBOs entering the market prior to the launch of actual services. In the field of telecommunications businesses, specifically for type I operators (FBOs), the permissible businesses, scope of the business, number of licences and restrictions to the businesses must adhere to the order given by the Executive Yuan subject to Article 12 of the Telecommunications Act. Permissible facility-based operations available as of 31 July 2015 would include the following:

- a* fixed networks:
  - integrated fixed networks;
  - local phone calls;
  - domestic long-distance calls;
  - international phone calls; and
  - circuit leasing, including in-land cable and international submarine cable;
- b* wireless or mobile networks:
  - mobile phones (GSM and CDMA);
  - 3G mobile communications (W-CDMA and CDMA 2000);
  - wireless broadband access services in which WiMAX is a main application; and
  - mobile broadband services (specifically intended for 4G LTE); and
- c* satellite networks:
  - satellite TV and radio programme relay and transponder leasing;
  - mobile satellite communications; and
  - fixed satellite communications.

Specific concessions for respective type I businesses are required. The NCC scrutinises operational plans, which must specifically follow the NCC guidelines in terms of format as well as detail, and inspects the equipment and facilities installed. Type II operators (SBOs) are subject to general authorisations, which in contrast are not heavily regulated.

Terrestrial TV stations and radio stations have long been under strict licence control according to the longstanding Radio and Television Law and the conservative policy on the principle of scarcity in spectrum. Cable TV and radio, not surprisingly, are also highly regulated in terms of both franchise area and national expansion despite the NCC's attempt to bring competition into the oligopoly market by offering entries to local new players.

The NCC has the final say on applications for cable TV franchises and operational licences, although the local governments in designated franchise areas and consumer advocates would normally be invited in one of the three rounds of review.

Satellite broadcasting systems or DTH operators have so far enjoyed less regulation while applying for their landing licences<sup>3</sup> due to their small share in the audiovisual distribution market. Satellite TV channel providers, however, have been forced to wait to receive landing licences for generally four to six months after filing applications. It is common that much of the advice given by the reviewers engaged by the NCC to view operational plans submitted by the operators is taken and adopted into the original plan in order to improve the outcome.

In principle, only licence holders of facility-based operations are qualified to be assigned the radio spectrum by the NCC necessary for their respective approved services. Legally speaking, there are no spectrum licences independent of the above concessions.

### iii Ownership and market access restrictions

#### *Foreign ownership*

In the telecoms sector, foreign direct investment in a single type I telecoms operator may not exceed 49 per cent of total equity shares, and there may not be more than 60 per cent in total of direct and indirect foreign investments.

In the media sector, foreign ownership is prohibited in terrestrial TV stations and radio stations. For investment in cable system operators, the total foreign ownership must be below 60 per cent, and foreign direct investment is restricted to legal entities only and may not exceed 20 per cent of total shareholding. Foreign satellite broadcasters, channel operators, content providers and DTH service operators are able to receive landing licences either by setting up a branch or appointing a local agent for compliance with the relevant administrative regulations. Where foreigners invest in Taiwanese satellite broadcasting businesses, a cap of 50 per cent on total equity shares applies.

No restriction is placed on foreign investment in internet-related businesses.

#### *Cross-ownership*

In July 2007, the authority amended a specific restriction on terrestrial TV stations and radio stations of no cross-ownership from newspapers or other terrestrial TV and radio stations of more than 50 per cent of total shareholding in a licensed operator.

---

3 Government approvals given for satellite broadcasters as well as channel operators to supply their programme feeds into the territory of Taiwan.

Similarly, the NCC has imposed restrictions on cross-ownership between cable MSOs and satellite channel operators to minimise the effects of vertical integration in the cable TV market.

#### *Other ownership restriction*

Governments, political parties and their employees and trustees may not invest or hold any property interest in terrestrial TV stations, radio stations, cable TV systems, satellite broadcasting systems or satellite TV channels.

Chinese investment in type I telecoms businesses, broadcasters, cable systems, satellite broadcasting systems, satellite TV channels and the other audiovisual distribution services are expressly banned.

#### *Limitations on market access*

As previously mentioned, there is no open market for type I telecoms business; only those specifically addressed in the open list announced by the Executive Yuan are entitled to market access.

Cable TV system operators were also subject to the NCC's order prior to July 2012. The NCC opened all of the franchised areas to new players and allowed incumbents to crossover franchises from 27 July 2012.

#### **iv Transfers of control and assignments**

Licences are not transferable independent of the entities awarded them.

Mergers and acquisitions between type I telecoms operators are subject to broad review by the NCC before they take place. The same is true in the case of broadcasters, so a merger or acquisition of shares of a terrestrial TV station or radio station must first receive a permit from the NCC. Pursuant to the NCC's schedule, which was updated in 2013, the review process at the NCC could take no less than six months. It is also worth noting that the introduction of foreign investment into the cable TV system could trigger a lengthy process, including public consultations and administrative hearings, before the NCC finally makes up its mind. In its latest review in July 2012, which resulted in a conditional concession for the acquisition of CNS, the largest MSO in Taiwan, the NCC took 18 months. According to an NCC press release, this time was spent evaluating industry policy, effects on relevant markets, diversified cultural development, freedom of speech and the public interest.

The NCC executes the *ex ante* regulation while the Fair Trade Commission (FTC) enjoys parallel power in approving mergers or acquisitions among telecom operators and media players from an antitrust point of view. Such FTC reviews have usually been efficiently processed and are normally completed in less than three months.

### **III TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND INTERNET ACCESS**

#### **i Internet and internet protocol regulation**

Some IP-based services have been defined as telecommunications services and are subject to different rules under the Telecommunications Act. For example, VoIP is regarded as a value-added telecommunications service under the type II administration rules.

Wall-gardened IPTV, such as ‘multimedia on demand’ promoted by CHT, has been specifically catered for under the fixed-network administrative rules and therefore is a type I telecommunications service subject to heavy-handed regulation, while internet TV (or ‘web TV’) remains unregulated according to the NCC’s interpretation of the existing laws.

**ii Universal service**

The universal service in Taiwan covers not only voice telephony but also broadband access services. The NCC has successfully implemented its broadband universal service plans, entitled ‘Broadband for Villages’ and ‘Broadband for Tribes’; broadband infrastructure has now been deployed to all villages in more remote areas. The universal service is supported by the universal service fund apportioned by eligible telecommunications operators (that is, all type I telecoms operators and special type II operators). The broadband universal service subsidised the construction of broadband infrastructure and the use of broadband services at local schools as well as public libraries in accordance with Article 11 of the Telecommunications Universal Service Regulation.

**iii Restrictions on the provision of service**

The prices of type I telecoms services charged to end users (retail price) are regulated. Any operator with significant market power must submit a primary rate plan for prior approval by the regulator. According to Articles 21 and 22 of the Telecommunications Act, telecom operators may not refuse to provide services without any legal cause or discriminate on the basis of the content they carry or their customers. Network operators are encouraged by the authorities to adopt a self-regulation approach for monitoring and controlling content, applications and services accessed by their network users with regard to, *inter alia*, telecom fraud, spam e-mail and protection of minors. The Minors’ Welfare and Rights Protection Law, in its latest version of Article 46, has imposed a direct responsibility on ISPs to assist relevant authorities with internet content surveillance. ISPs must take all necessary measures to restrict minors from accessing certain sites or content, or remove the content upon receiving notice from a competent authority that the identified online content or link is harmful to the physical or mental health of minors. No specific limitations exist in the telecommunications regulations regarding unsolicited phone calls, e-mails and texts; however, the Personal Data Protection Act provides strict requirements for the collection, processing and use of personal data (including phone numbers, fax numbers and e-mail addresses) on an opt-in basis, which have substantially become the main doctrine governing such unsolicited messages.

**iv Security**

The Communication Security and Surveillance Act promulgated in 1999 defined the scope of the government’s access to private communications, including but not limited to texts, voice messages, pictures, graphics and other messages carried through electronic communications, and detailed how due process must be achieved. Article 7 of the Telecommunications Act in the meantime provides parallel support allowing legal interception made according to the above authority.

The construction and deployment of telecommunications networks are required to be in compliance with the Communications Security and Surveillance Act. Telecommunications operators must cooperate with enforcement officers in matters of legal interception authorised by writs of surveillance issued by competent judges. Article 14 of the Communication Security and Surveillance Act and Article 26 of its enforcement rules require telecom operators to render every assistance needed for the purposes of surveillance, including the provision of encryption keys and decoding software to the satisfaction of the Investigation Bureau under the authority of the Ministry of Justice or the National Police Administration under the authority of the Ministry of Internal Affairs. Subject to the same regulation, all of the licensed operators must be equipped with wire-tap capabilities acceptable to the aforementioned agencies and approved by the NCC prior to them receiving their operation licences.

Police, prosecutors and the national security agency shall apply for writs of surveillance in relation to investigations into specific crimes such as treason, corruption, money laundering, smuggling, bribery, insider collusion, organised crime and other felonies for which the penalty is not less than three years in prison. The approved surveillance shall be at all times subject to competent court review in order to determine if it should be called off or terminated. Other than in the case of treason, where the surveillance may last as long as one year, any surveillance conducted under court approval may last, at most, for 30 days.

The Personal Data Protection Law came into effect in 1995, and exactly follows the OECD guidelines on the protection of privacy and transborder flows of personal data; it was amended considerably in May 2010 to further strengthen the protection of personal data and privacy with the introduction of class actions, and giving individuals who have suffered abuse of personal data or unlawful collection various rights to claim in civil and criminal actions.

In response to growing cybersecurity concerns, in 2001 the Executive Yuan implemented the ‘National Information and Communication Infrastructure Security Mechanism Plan (2001–2008)’, which established the National Information and Communication Security Taskforce and the Information and Communication Security Technology Centre as executive arms. Further, in 2009, an amendment was made, which became the ‘National Information and Communication Security Development Plan (2009–2012)’, calling for collaboration among industry players in, *inter alia*, the telecommunications, energy and electricity sectors, to work with relevant government agencies to protect sensitive infrastructures from cyberattacks and hackers.

## **IV SPECTRUM POLICY**

### **i Development**

The use of spectrum in the 20th century had previously been affixed to specific telecommunications businesses or broadcaster licences, and were associated with designated technologies. In the Fundamental Communications Act 2004, it became a written law for the first time that the spectrum allocation and assignment must conform to principles of fairness, efficiency, convenience, harmony and technological neutrality. Spectrum use was reviewed by both the MOTC and the NCC in order to free up more

spectrum for new services. Digital dividends are thus clearly discernible typically in the 700MHz, 900MHz and 2,600MHz bands, and the government is continuing to plan for upcoming allocation of these bands for new services.

**ii Flexible spectrum use**

Many attempts have been made in terms of enabling more flexible use of spectrum, such as the introduction of WiMAX applications in the 2.5GHz/2.6GHz bands and also the trial run of mobile TV in the 600MHz band (which was later renamed the 'mobile multimedia' service by the NCC), but these did not come to fruition. The NCC has been freeing up more spectrum for licence-exempt use under certain regulatory requirements. Wi-Fi is one example, which has been deemed a useful alternative to ease the great need for the 3G and 4G LTE bandwidth needed to handle the massive use of mobile internet services.

**iii Broadband and next-generation mobile spectrum use**

For its very first 4G LTE licence auction, the NCC announced on 15 May 2013 that three frequency bands – 700MHz, 900MHz and 1,800MHz, which are equivalent to the bandwidth 135MHz x 2 – were to be released for simultaneous-multiple-round auction, and then assigned to successful bidders for mobile broadband service licences. The licence term would begin on the date of issuance and last until 31 December 2030.

According to the definition given by the NCC in its rules set for mobile broadband service, a 'mobile broadband system' shall consist of:

- a* high-speed base stations with a download speed of not less than 100Mb/s under conditions of 15MHz bandwidth (for FDD) or 20MHz bandwidth (for TDD);
- b* relevant mobile communications equipment provided by operators using the frequencies allocated during this licensing; and
- c* the mobile communication technical standards released by the International Telecommunications Union.

Subject to the NCC's approval, bid winners that are mobile phone business operators may apply to assign to each other, based on the same band and same bandwidth conditions, the band for which they have obtained a licence within six months of obtaining the establishment preparation permission.

The above auction ended on 30 October 2013, with unprecedented high bids being submitted. The government received a total of NT\$118.65 billion from the six awarded winners – 2.3 times more than the base price of NT\$35.9 billion. Among the six winning operators – CHT, Taiwan Mobile, FarEastone (FET), Asia Pacific Telecom, Ambit Microsystems Corporation and Taiwan Star Cellular Corporation – announced by the NCC, by 31 July 2015 the number of 4G subscribers has increased by more than 5 million (i.e., nearly 20 per cent of overall mobile users). CHT remains the leading operator in the emerging 4G LTE market, taking a 35 per cent portion, while its rivals Taiwan Mobile and FET each have 25 per cent share, leaving the other new entrants far behind. The NCC had expected that by 2020, up to 500MHz of bandwidth will be available by auction for more mobile broadband services. Following the successful auction conducted in October 2013, the NCC completed its plan in June 2015 that the



2.6GHz band, which is currently used for wireless broadband access (WBA) licences, a total of 190MHz, would be further available for mobile broadband services by the end of 2015. The total base price for the 2.6GHz band has been determined by the NCC at NT\$14.4 billion, which is far below the price previously expected, as the possible interference by JSAT in certain bands, as well as the unsolved problem of the remaining WBA operator licences currently in use in two of the released bands, were noted.

#### **iv Spectrum auctions and fees**

Pursuant to Article 48 of the Telecommunications Act, the NCC regulates radio frequency, power, mode of transmission, radio station identification signals and call signs, and other radio spectrum-related matters. The NCC enacts regulations governing the planning and allocation of radio frequency, application procedures, principles of assignment, termination of approval, use administration of radio frequency, handling of interference and the standard definition of interference; and regulations related to the supervision of radio waves. The NCC further mandates the term of utilisation of frequency, and establishes a fee schedule to collect usage fees from radio frequency users.

Spectrum assignment is made by auction or open bid, except in the following cases, subject to the NCC's review, in which a 'beauty contest' approach is taken:

- a* radio frequencies for the military, police, navigation, ships, amateur radio, government telecommunications, industrial, scientific, medical, low-power radio frequency devices, academic experiments, emergency aid and rescue, and other charity or public uses;
- b* radio frequencies for mobile communications networks, satellite communications networks, radio broadcast stations or TV stations whose operations are based on the utilisation of specific radio frequencies, which shall be designated at the time of issuance of the operation permit or franchise licence, and without which otherwise the networks thereof could not function; and any radio frequency increased for improvement in the quality of local telecommunications; or
- c* radio frequencies that could be used repeatedly, under certain conditions of use, for the wireless local loop of fixed-line networks, satellite links or wireless microwave links.

The fees for the use of radio frequency spectrum are calculated every year pursuant to the Charge Standard of Utilisation Fee of Radio Frequency. The fees standard varies for permitted types of communications businesses and the frequency spectrum applied, but fees for usage for academic experiments, navigation aid, meteorology, radar, rescue, military purposes or emergency medication may be exempted.

## **V MEDIA**

### **i Restrictions on the provision of service**

Licences for the distribution of audiovisual media are generally regulated on the basis of holding and controlling physical distribution platforms, including terrestrial TV stations, radio stations, cable radio and cable TV systems, and satellite TV transmission systems. The NCC, since its establishment in 2006, has expanded its licence control beyond the

platforms to content providers. All of the aforementioned licence holders are required to observe detailed programme and advertisement regulations laid out by the NCC, which basically contain the following:

- a* content must not violate compulsory or prohibitive regulations under the law;
- b* content must not impair the physical or mental health of children or juveniles; and
- c* content must not disrupt public order or adversely affect good social customs.

## ii Internet-delivered video content

The popular broadband service sponsored by the government and the broadband universal service have taken a huge number of the younger generation away from traditional means of broadcast video distribution (including cable TV). The over-the-top content model, however, does not benefit ISPs, who miss out on a reliable fee-collection mechanism or effective digital rights management.

## iii Mobile services

The NCC once hesitated, and subsequently encountered serious failure, in 2008 while planning for mobile TV services that could offer subscribers mobile content via UHF bands on various handsets and mobile devices. Nevertheless, upon swift launch of 4G LTE services in the third quarter of 2014, the NCC is now delivering a clear policy response to the public's tremendous demand for mobile media services.

## VI THE YEAR IN REVIEW

Not much progress has been achieved in the past couple of years despite the Executive Yuan's ambitious plan of digital convergence for the years 2010 to 2015. The NCC previously completed draft amendments to the Radio and Television Act, the Cable Radio and Cable TV Act, the Satellite Broadcasting Law and the Telecommunications Act in 2012, but they have proved so controversial that the Legislative Yuan has put the review on hold, and it is almost certain that the amendments will not be passed by the end of 2015. A draft antimonopoly bill for the media, proposed by the NCC in March 2013, is also pending legislative review in the Legislative Yuan.

Other than the fierce competition for 4G spectrum for mobile broadband services, the other currently notable trend is that the NCC has been providing incentives to cable operators and pushing hard at the customers' end to bring the number of digital cable TV subscriptions from less than 10 per cent in early 2014 to 80 per cent as at 31 July 2015. To prepare a new Communications Convergence Act, the NCC conducted a public consultation on its website between 25 December 2013 and 8 January 2014 regarding issues such as fixed-line bandwidth competition and last-mile equal access. On 13 January 2014, the NCC organised a hearing at which all relevant telecommunications operators were invited to convey their opinions. The NCC released a 26-page document on background and pending issues that sets out all kinds of operation figures for the fixed-line communications market in the past decade and provides competitive analysis. The document also outlines regulatory issues against the background of broadband service competition arising from cable television digitalisation in order to solicit

feedback from relevant operators and consumer groups. The NCC summarised the main factors behind the failure of regulatory measures to promote competition in the fixed-line communications market. Since the local voice service market for fixed-line communications is saturated, there is no incentive to attract new operators to invest in infrastructure to participate in competition.

In spite of service differentiation in the broadband-access market, which has encouraged new operators to invest in and develop relevant infrastructure to provide faster broadband services, new operators are still not granted free right of way under the Telecommunications Act. In addition, few government resources have been committed to last-mile network construction, and there has been no government assistance with eliminating difficulties in last-mile network construction.

New operators also face significant difficulties in developing their own subscriber lines, pipelines and networks (due to lengthy application and deployment times and high sunk costs). They are therefore unable to compete with CHT, which is the dominant market player in the broadband leased-line market. Although cable television digitalisation can facilitate high-speed broadband services, it cannot compete with CHT on all fronts due to the legal restriction on franchise areas imposed to prevent market concentration. Due to the lack of more reasonable equal access mechanisms to accompany co-location, new entrants are unwilling to increase their investment in network deployment. Therefore, CHT continues to monopolise the local-fixed line network market.

The NCC continued to propose that CHT implement functional and structural separation as a solution to this issue, and recommended that CHT consider the arrangements that it will voluntarily provide. However, CHT does not support the proposal or recommendation, and its labour union representatives and management voiced opposition to the NCC's proposal during a hearing on 13 January 2014.

The ban prohibiting Chinese citizens and legal entities from investing in the Taiwanese telecommunications industry remains subsequent to calling off of the share transfer agreement between China Mobile and FarEastone on 12 June 2013. Furthermore, the Transportation Committee of the Legislative Yuan resolved on 17 October 2013 to request that the NCC impose a comprehensive ban on all Chinese-supplied network equipment proposed by 4G operators for system construction. The NCC has openly urged 4G operators not to use branded Chinese companies as their only source for base-station equipment, and has tried to persuade them that not using Chinese brands will not affect the construction schedule of operators. Ambit Microsystems was the only operator that specifically indicated in its application that it will use 4G equipment supplied by Huawei of China. Not surprisingly, this plan was turned down by the NCC. Similar concerns have arisen regarding smartphones produced by Chinese companies. On 24 September 2014, the government announced that it is looking into a potential threat to users' privacy due to imported Xiaomi smartphones that have been sending users' data back to China (where Xiaomi's servers are located) without complying with the rule regarding international transmission of personal data under the Personal Data Protection Act.

## VII CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

As from 1 January 2012, local and long-distance calls from a household fixed-line phone have been charged at NT\$1.60 for three minutes. CHT had been under pressure from the NCC and legislators, and the charge for long-distance calls has been reduced from NT\$5.70, which is exactly the same as the local call rate. The charge for both local and long-distance calls has been changed to NT\$1 per three minutes during discounted time slots. As a result, no distinction is made between the tariffs for local and long-distance calls nationwide, which also include calls to the offshore islands. This tariff is the lowest local call tariff in the world.

With 12.8 million local phone subscribers in Taiwan – which, according to the NCC, represents a 97 per cent penetration rate – CHT has long been the only local call operator in the country. CHT's statistics reveal that 52 per cent of its subscribers make long-distance calls, and as a result of this tariff reduction for long-distance calls, CHT claimed it could suffer revenue losses of NT\$2.8 billion. However, the NCC refuted this argument on the grounds that inexpensive local calls will prompt consumers to make more local calls rather than using their mobile phones. This argument is based on a past case where telephone calls increased by over 30 per cent when Matsu (a small island close to mainland China) was included within Taiwan's call zone. As far as CHT is concerned, the NCC's decision was not acceptable to its shareholders (the company's foreign shareholding has dropped from a peak of almost 45 per cent to today's 24 per cent). Shares being sold by foreign investors on the stock market are mainly being purchased by large government-controlled funds, making it more difficult for CHT to free itself from the state-owned enterprise role that the government has imposed on it.

The fixed-line telecommunications market was deregulated in 2002. Since then, newly established private operators have been encouraged to enter the local call and domestic long-distance telephone market to compete with CHT (the only incumbent operator at that time). However, the other three fixed-line operators have failed to make substantial progress in network deployment and operation.

The NCC's success in compelling CHT to adopt a uniform tariff for domestic long-distance and local calls is tantamount to a tacit admission that the objective of the second telecommunications liberalisation – which the Directorate General of Telecommunications (the former telecommunications authority) had been attempting to achieve since 2000 – has been aborted. It seems ironic that the NCC secures CHT from competition by making the fixed-line telecommunications market totally unprofitable. However, the NCC has determined to undertake more structural changes rather than exercise asymmetric regulation against CHT's monopoly in the fixed-line telecommunications market.

In 2012, due to the stalemate between CHT and its major competitors, including FarEastone and Taiwan Mobile, the NCC did not have any success in resolving the existing disputes regarding network interconnections between major telecommunications operators by amending the existing Regulations Governing Network Interconnections between Telecommunications Enterprises. The NCC then conducted a public hearing on 25 April 2013. The NCC's indication that the focus of this hearing was whether asymmetric regulation of CHT should be conducted by way of enacting amendments

to the current Telecommunications Act has attracted extensive attention in the industry, principally concerning:

- a* whether internet interconnections should be regulated by additional provisions in amendments to the Telecommunications Act rather than by administrative decrees from the NCC only;
- b* whether asymmetric regulation should be adopted in the case of CHT's place in the internet market;
- c* the terms and qualifications for free internet interconnections should asymmetric regulation be adopted; and
- d* the regulation of public internet interconnection obligations between free dedicated internet interconnecting parties; and
- e* whether the internet interconnection bandwidth fees under the wholesale prices set forth in the existing Regulations Governing Tariffs of Type One Telecommunications Enterprises (including the fees for the creation, change or termination of interconnections) should be incorporated into the Regulations Governing the Network Interconnections of Telecommunications Enterprises.

The NCC has many more plans regarding the asymmetric regulation of CHT.

In May 2012, the NCC disclosed an amendment to the existing Telecommunications Act for public comment, which would grant the NCC a mandate under the Act to compel CHT to separate some of its functions so that its network access arm becomes an independent business unit providing rental services to all telecommunications operators – including, of course, its rivals. The draft failed the review conducted by the Executive Yuan in October 2012. At the time, the government held a direct shareholding of around 30 per cent in CHT. When a new commission was appointed and took office in August 2012, it was widely suspected that the NCC might take a very different view towards CHT's dominant power in the fixed-line telecommunications market. It turns out, however, that its position is not different at all. In an announcement on 20 February 2013, the NCC reiterated its commitment to imposing antitrust measures on CHT. It also proposed alternatively that CHT should always offer equal prices for the provision of access and circuit leases among its business units, as well as to rival competitors, if functional separation is not approved by the Executive Yuan. On 16 July 2013, the Executive Yuan officially concluded that the NCC should reconsider its draft proposal for the amendment of the Telecommunications Act. This was the second time in six months that the NCC failed to convince the Executive Yuan of its communications policies. Since then, the NCC has not produced a new draft of the Communications Convergence Act in compliance with the Executive Yuan's request (which was made at the end of March 2014). On 2 July 2014, the NCC released the draft Recommendations and Strategies Concerning Legal Amendments Relating to Communications Convergence with a plan to solicit public opinion regarding them during the next few months.

According to the NCC, the existing Telecommunications Act, Cable Radio and Television Act, Radio and Television Act and the Satellite Broadcasting Act will be further amended to catch the evolving trends of digital convergence. However, in so doing, one or multiple legal proposals reflecting digital convergence concepts may be submitted to the Executive Yuan for approval.

The NCC has stressed that when laws and regulations are amended in future, deregulation will be pursued, along with encouragement of innovations, participation and investment, while the regulatory framework will be geared towards flexible layering. The convergence acts initially conceived by the NCC consist of four laws that are not yet officially named. Future legal amendments will focus on the following areas:

The existing Telecommunications Act will:

- a* reduce market entry barriers;
- b* continue enforcement of asymmetrical control;
- c* encourage infrastructure sharing;
- d* promote effective and efficient use of frequencies; and
- e* ensure personal data protection.

The Cable Radio and Television Act will:

- a* transform cable television systems into open platforms for video transmission;
- b* ease control over mergers and acquisitions, multiple franchise operations and cross-sector competition with telecoms operators; and
- c* enforce heavy-handed regulation on channel line-ups and programme licensing practice.

Consolidation of the existing Radio and Television Act and the Satellite Broadcasting Act will:

- a* grant licences on a per-channel basis according to their specific regulation;
- b* ease requirements for self-deployed network infrastructure; and
- c* encourage and promote self-regulation among industry players with respect to content regulation.

In the Communications Convergence Act, the NCC has devised a scenario where all existing communications platforms, channels and media are highly converged, and plans to incorporate into the scope of regulation all service types that have emerged or may potentially emerge between telecommunications and existing radio and television services.

No implementation schedule for the new convergence acts can be inferred from the NCC's written statement. The proposed framework of the draft Communications Convergence Act once again indicates the NCC's serious intention to respond to the challenges brought by overwhelming digital convergence. However, it also steps on the line dividing authorities, including:

- a* the Ministry of Culture;
- b* the MOTC;
- c* the Ministry of Interior Affairs;
- d* the Ministry of Finance; and
- e* the Ministry of Health and Welfare.

It seems doubtful that such a complex and controversial legislative plan can succeed.

## Appendix 1

---

# ABOUT THE AUTHORS

### **ARTHUR SHAY**

*Shay & Partners*

Arthur Shay heads the TMT team of Shay & Partners. He specialises, in addition to TMT, in intellectual property rights, and mergers and acquisitions. He has more than 20 years' experience in advising multinational companies on cross-border corporate and regulatory issues. His clientele includes broadcasters, telcos, DTH operators, multiple cable system operators, internet data centres, internet portals, ASPs and content providers. Mr Shay is a frequent speaker at various communications law forums on regulatory reform and market investment. He has been commended for the fact that he 'understands his clients' businesses well and his advice is always very helpful'. (*Chambers Asia-Pacific* 2013). Mr Shay was appointed the president of Globalaw for 2011, which is an international law group that is an independent law firms network comprising more than 115 law firms in 175 cities.

### **DAVID YEH**

*Shay & Partners*

David Yeh is a consultant in the TMT team of Shay & Partners. He specialises in telecommunications and media law, cyberspace and e-commerce, intellectual property, antitrust and competition law. His practice focuses on telecom and media industries from regulatory reform analysis to legal review and drafting contracts. He has assisted international cable programme providers in applying for pay-channel licences from the broadcasting authority, and represented domestic cable operators and multinational satellite operators on regulatory compliance matters. He received a degree of SJD from the Maurer School of Law, Indiana University-Bloomington. He also lectures on communication laws as well as copyright issues on the internet in colleges in Taiwan.

**SHAY & PARTNERS**

17F/B, 167 Tun Hwa North Road

Taipei 105

Taiwan

Tel: +886 2 8773 3600

Fax: +886 2 8773 3611

[arthur.shay@elitelaw.com](mailto:arthur.shay@elitelaw.com)

[david.yeh@elitelaw.com](mailto:david.yeh@elitelaw.com)

[www.elitelaw.com](http://www.elitelaw.com)